arXiv:1604.07570v1 [math.FA] 26 Apr 2016

L^p SPACES IN VECTOR LATTICES AND APPLICATIONS

ANTONIO BOCCUTO, DOMENICO CANDELORO,
AND ANNA RITA SAMBUCINI

Abstract. L^p spaces are investigated for vector lattice-valued functions, with respect to filter convergence. As applications, some classical inequalities are extended to the vector lattice context, and some properties of the Brownian Motion and the Brownian Bridge are studied, to solve some stochastic differential equations.

1. Introduction

Function spaces, in particular L^p spaces, play a central role in many problems in Mathematical Analysis and have lots of applications in several branches. The L^p spaces are perhaps the most useful and important examples of Banach spaces; of independent and higher interest is the L^2 space, whose origins are related with fundamental investigations and developments in Fourier analysis ([5, 6, 24]), in reconstruction of signals, integral and discrete operators (see for example [1, 2, 4, 7–9, 29, 30, 38, 50, 51]) and Stochastic Integration (see also [10, 34–37, 40, 46–49]).

In this paper some fundamental properties of L^p spaces in the vector lattice setting are investigated, continuing a research initiated by the authors in [12–14, 16, 21, 22] and developed later in [15]. The range of the involved functions is a vector lattice endowed with filter/ideal convergence (for a related literature, see also [3, 17–20, 25, 27]). Thanks to the triangle inequality, it is possible to view the space L^p as a metric space endowed with a distance of the type $d(f, g) = \|f-g\|_p$. Note that, in general, this space is not complete, as Example 3.8 shows. As applications, several inequalities are given, as well as some approximation results concerning processes related to the Brownian Motion.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 basic notions and results are given, recalling some main properties of vector lattices, filters, modulars and a

Date: March 16, 2016.
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28B15, 41A35, 46G10.
Key words and phrases. vector lattice, filter convergence, modular, L^p space, Hermite-Hadamard inequality, Schwartz inequality, Jensen inequality, Brownian Motion.
This work was supported by University of Perugia - Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences - Grant Nr 2010.011.0403 and by the Grant prot. U2014/000237 of GNAMPA - INDAM (Italy).
A. Boocuto orcid id: 0000-0003-3795-8856, D. Candeloro orcid id: 0000-0003-0526-5334, A.R. Sambucini orcid id: 0000-0003-0161-8729.

Vitali-type Theorem. In Section 3 some new results on L^p spaces, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ in the vector lattice context and a Minkowski inequality are given, together with an example in which it is shown that in general these spaces are not complete. Section 4 is divided into two subsections; in the first one some inequalities (Hermite-Hadamard, Féjer, Jensen and Schwartz) are proved. In the second subsection, applications to the Brownian Motion and the Brownian Bridge are given, leading to the solution of some particular stochastic differential equations and to the reconstruction of a perturbed signal.

2. Preliminaries

Some basic properties of vector lattices and filter convergence are recalled first. For the basic subjects and fundamental tools used in the vector lattice theory see, for instance, [41, 53]. A vector lattice $\mathbf{X}$ is said to be *Dedekind complete* iff every nonempty subset $A \subset \mathbf{X}$, bounded from above, has a lattice supremum in $\mathbf{X}$, denoted by $\bigvee A$. From now on, $\mathbf{X}$ is a Dedekind complete vector lattice, $\mathbf{X}^+$ is the set of all strictly positive elements of $\mathbf{X}$, and $\mathbf{X}_0^+ = \mathbf{X}^+ \cup \{0\}$. For each $x \in \mathbf{X}$, let $|x| := x \vee (-x)$. An extra element $+\infty$ will be added to $\mathbf{X}$, extending order and operations in a natural way, set $\overline{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $\overline{\mathbf{X}_0^+} = \mathbf{X}_0^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$, and assume $0 \cdot (+\infty) = 0$. A sequence $(p_n)_n$ in $\mathbf{X}$ is called (o)-*sequence* iff it is decreasing and $\bigwedge_n p_n = 0$. An *order unit* of $\mathbf{X}$ is an element e, such that for every $x \in \mathbf{X}$ there is a positive real number c with $|x| \leq ce$.

Let $\mathbf{X}_1$, $\mathbf{X}_2$, $\mathbf{X}$ be Dedekind complete vector lattices. We say that $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X})$ is a *product triple* iff a product $\cdot : \mathbf{X}_1 \times \mathbf{X}_2 \to \mathbf{X}$ is defined, satisfying natural conditions of compatibility (see for instance [14, Assumption 2.1]).

Remark 2.1. A vector lattice $\mathbf{X}$ is called an f-*algebra* (see also [53, Definition 140.8]) iff there exists in $\mathbf{X}$ an associative multiplication, satisfying the usual algebraic properties, with $xy \geq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$ and such that $x \wedge y = 0$ implies $(x \cdot z) \wedge y = 0$ whenever x, $y \in \mathbf{X}$ and $z \in \mathbf{X}_0^+$. The following condition will be required in the paper.

- **(H_0)** $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X})$ is a product triple, and $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}_1$ are endowed with order units e, e_1 respectively.

Note that every lattice $\mathbf{X}$ equipped with an order unit is an f-algebra. Indeed, by the Maeda-Ogasawara-Vulikh representation theorem 3.6 (see also [52]), $\mathbf{X}$ is algebraically and lattice isomorphic to the space $C(\Omega)$ of all continuous real-valued functions defined on a suitable compact and extremely disconnected topological space Ω. So, it is not difficult to deduce that $\mathbf{X}$ is an f-algebra since $\mathbb{R}$ is.

Given any fixed countable set Z, a class $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of Z is called a *filter* of Z iff $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ whenever A, $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and for each $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B \supset A$ it is $B \in \mathcal{F}$. The symbol $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cofin}}$ denotes the filter of all cofinite subsets of Z. A filter of Z is said to be *free* iff it contains $\mathcal{F}_{\text{cofin}}$. An example of free filter is the filter

of all subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, having asymptotic density one. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a filter of Z, then let $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ be the *product filter* of $Z \times Z$, defined by

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F} := \{C \subset Z \times Z : \text{ there exist } A, B \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } A \times B \subset C\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any filter of Z. A sequence $(x_z)_{z\in Z}$ in $\mathbf{X}$ $(o_{\mathcal{F}})$-*converges to* $x \in R$ ($x_z \overset{o_{\mathcal{F}}}{\to} x$) iff there exists an (o)-sequence $(\sigma_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}$ such that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{z \in Z : |x_z - x| \leq \sigma_p\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}$.

A sequence $(x_z)_{z\in Z}$ in $\mathbf{X}$ $(r_{\mathcal{F}})$-*converges to* $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ($x_z \overset{r_{\mathcal{F}}}{\to} x$) iff there exist a $u \in \mathbf{X}^+$ and an (o)-sequence $(\varepsilon_p)_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$ such that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\{z \in Z : |x_z - x| \leq \varepsilon_p u\}$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}$.

A sequence $(x_z)_z$ in $\mathbf{X}$ (o)-converges to $x \in \mathbf{X}$ (in the classical sense) iff it $(o_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{cofin}}})$-converges to x (see also [20]).

Let G be any infinite set, $\mathcal{P}(G)$ be the family of all subsets of G, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(G)$ be an algebra and $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to \overline{(\mathbf{X}_2)_0^+}$ be a finitely additive measure. The symbol μ^* denotes the *outer measure* associated to μ, namely $\mu^*(B) := \wedge_{A\in\mathcal{A}, A\supset B}\mu(A)$, $B \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, and $\mathcal{A}_b$ is the family of the sets $B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(B) \in \mathbf{X}_2$. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in \mathbf{X}_1$, let $u \cdot 1_A : G \to \mathbf{X}_1$ be the function whose values are u when $t \in A$ and 0 otherwise.
As in [15, Subsection 2.1], the modulars in the vector lattice setting are introduced (for the classical case and a related literature, see e.g. [9, 39, 43, 45]).

Let T be a linear sublattice of $\mathbf{X}_1^G$, such that $e_1 \cdot 1_A \in T$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_b$.

A functional $\rho : T \to \overline{\mathbf{X}_0^+}$ is said to be a *modular* on T iff it satisfies the following properties.

- (ρ_0) $\rho(0) = 0$;
- (ρ_1) $\rho(-f) = \rho(f)$ for every $f \in T$;
- (ρ_2) $\rho(\alpha_1 f + \alpha_2 h) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(h)$ for every f, $h \in T$ and for any α_1, $\alpha_2 \geq 0$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$.

Moreover, some additional conditions will be required.

- (ρ_m) A modular ρ is *monotone* iff $\rho(f) \leq \rho(h)$ for every f, $h \in T$ with $|f| \leq |h|$. In this case, if $f \in T$, then $|f| \in T$ and hence $\rho(f) = \rho(|f|)$.
- (ρ_{co}) A modular ρ is *convex* iff $\rho(\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2) \leq \alpha_1\rho(f_1) + \alpha_2\rho(f_2)$ for all f_1, $f_2 \in T$ and for any real numbers α_1, $\alpha_2 \geq 0$ with $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$.
- (ρ_f) A modular ρ is *finite* iff for every $A \in \mathcal{A}_b$ and every (o)-sequence $(\varepsilon_p)_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$, the sequence $(\rho(e_1\varepsilon_p 1_A))_p$ is (r)-convergent to 0 (for the case $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbb{R}$, see for instance [9]).

The concept of (equi-) absolute continuity in the context of modulars and filter convergence is introduced here.

(a_ρ) A map $f \in T$ is ($o_{\mathcal{F}}$)-*absolutely continuous with respect to the modular* ρ (shortly, *absolutely continuous*) iff there is a positive real constant α, satisfying the following properties.

($a_\rho(1)$) For each (o)-sequence $(\sigma_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}_2^+$ there exists an (o)-sequence $(w_p)_p$ in R^+ such that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and whenever $\mu(B) \leq \sigma_p$ it is $\rho(\alpha f 1_B) \leq w_p$;

($a_\rho(2)$) there is an (o)-sequence $(z_m)_m$ in $\mathbf{X}^+$ such that to each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there corresponds a set $B_m \in \mathcal{A}_b$ with $\rho(\alpha f 1_{G\setminus B_m}) \leq z_m$.

(ac_ρ) Given a modular ρ and any free filter $\mathcal{F}$ of Z, a sequence $f_z : G \to \mathbb{R}$, $z \in Z$, is said to be ρ-$\mathcal{F}$-*equi-absolutely continuous*, or in short *equi-absolutely continuous,* iff there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, satisfying the following two conditions.

($ac_\rho(1)$) For every (o)-sequence $(\sigma_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}_2^+$ there are an (o)-sequence $(w_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}^+$ and a sequence $(\Lambda^p)_p \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\rho(\alpha f_z 1_B) \leq w_p$ whenever $z \in \Lambda^p$ and $\mu(B) \leq \sigma_p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$;

($ac_\rho(2)$) there are an (o)-sequence $(r_m)_m$ in $\mathbf{X}^+$ and a sequence $(B_m)_m \in \mathcal{A}_b$ such that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it is

$$\Lambda^m := \{z \in Z : \rho(\alpha f_z 1_{G\setminus B_m}) \leq r_m\} \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{2.2}$$

The concepts of filter uniform convergence and convergence in measure are recalled (see also [13]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any fixed free filter of Z.

Definitions 2.3.

2.3.1) A sequence of functions $(f_z)_{z\in Z}$ in ${\mathbf{X}_1}^G$ is said to ($r_{\mathcal{F}}$)-*converge uniformly* (shortly, *converge uniformly*) to f, iff there exists an (o)-sequence $(\varepsilon_p)_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$ with

$$\{z \in Z : \bigvee_{t\in G} |f_z(t) - f(t)| \leq \varepsilon_p\, e_1\} \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for any } p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In this case one can write:

$$(r_{\mathcal{F}})\lim_z \Big(\bigvee_{t\in G} |f_z(t) - f(t)|\Big) = 0.$$

2.3.2) Given a sequence $(f_z)_z$ in $\mathbf{X}_1^G$ and $f \in \mathbf{X}_1^G$, we say that $(f_z)_z$ ($r_{\mathcal{F}}$)-*converges in measure* (shortly, μ-*converges*) to f, iff there are two (o)-sequences, $(\varepsilon_p)_p$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$, $(\sigma_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}_2^+$, and a double sequence $(A_z^p)_{(z,p)\in Z\times\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $A_z^p \supset \{t \in G : |f_z(t) - f(t)| \not\leq \varepsilon_p e_1\}$ for every $z \in Z$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{z \in Z : \mu(A_z^p) \leq \sigma_p\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Using these assumptions and notations, in [15, Theorem 2.3] a Vitali-type theorem was obtained. For a historical overview on this topic see also [19, 28] and their bibliographies.

Theorem 2.4. (Vitali) *Let ρ be a monotone and finite modular, and $\mathcal{F}$ be a fixed free filter of Z. If $(f_z)_z$ is a sequence in T, μ-convergent to 0 and equi-absolutely continuous, then there is a positive real number α with*

$$(o_{\mathcal{F}}) \lim_z \rho(\alpha f_z) = 0.$$

As an application, a Cauchy-type property for ρ-convergence of function sequences can be obtained.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ and ρ be as in Theorem 2.4, and suppose that $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in T, such that the double sequence $(f_h - f_q)_{h,q}$ $(o_{\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}})$-converges in measure to 0. If $(f_n)_n$ is equi-absolutely continuous, then there is $\alpha > 0$ with $(o_{\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}}) \lim_{h,q} \rho(\alpha(f_h - f_q)) = 0$.*

Proof. It is enough to replace, in Theorem 2.4, Z with $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathcal{F}\otimes\mathcal{F}$, respectively. □

As a consequence it follows

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ and ρ be as in Theorem 2.4, and suppose that $(f_n)_n$ is $(o_{\mathcal{F}})$-convergent in measure to 0. If there exist an absolutely continuous function g in T and an element $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, such that $|f_z(t)| \le g(t)$ for all $z \in F_0$ and $t \in G$, then there is a positive real number α with $(o_{\mathcal{F}}) \lim_z \rho(\alpha\, f_z) = 0$.*

3. L^p SPACES

Some definitions which will be used in the sequel are recalled here for the sake of simplicity.

Definition 3.1. [15, Definition 3.2] A function $f \in \mathbf{X}_1^G$ is said to be *simple* iff $f(G)$ is a finite set and $f^{-1}(\{x\}) \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $x \in \mathbf{X}_1$. The space of all simple functions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$.

Let L^* be the set of all simple functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ vanishing outside a set of finite μ-measure. If $f \in L^*$, its usual integral is denoted by $\int_G f(t)d\mu(t)$. It is not difficult to see that the functional $\iota : L^* \to \mathbf{X}$ defined as

$$\iota(f) := \int_G |f(t)|d\mu(t), \quad f \in L^*, \tag{3.1}$$

is a monotone finite modular, and it is also linear and additive on positive functions and constants (see also [15, Remark 3.3]).

Definition 3.2. ([15, Definition 3.4]) A positive function $f \in \mathbf{X}_1^G$ is *integrable* iff there exist an equi-absolutely continuous sequence of functions $(f_n)_n$ in L^*, μ-convergent to f, and a map $l : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{X}$, with

$$(o_{\mathcal{F}}) \lim_n \bigvee_{A\in\mathcal{A}} \left| \int_A f_n(t)d\mu(t) - l(A) \right| = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

(the sequence $(f_n)_n$ is said to be *defining*). In this case, we say that

$$l(A) = (o_{\mathcal{F}}) \lim_n \int_A f_n(t) d\mu(t)$$

uniformly with respect to $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Note that $l(A)$ is independent of the choice of the defining sequence. If $f \in \mathbf{X}_1^G$, then f is said to be *integrable* iff the functions $t \mapsto f(t) \vee 0$ and $t \mapsto (-f(t)) \vee 0$ are integrable.

The L^p spaces in the vector lattice setting will be introduced now. Assume that $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{X}$, ($\mathbf{X}$ is a lattice ordered algebra with complete multiplication, see also [53]), $\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.3. Let $f \in \mathbf{X}^G$. We say that $f \in L^p$ iff both f and f^p belong to L according with Definition 3.2 with a common basic defining sequence $(f_n)_n$ (this means that $(f_n)_n$ is a defining sequence for f and $(f_n^p)_n$ is a defining sequence for f^p, respectively).

It is not difficult to see that L^p is a linear space. Since homogeneity is straightforward, we just prove that L^p is stable with respect to addition. The σ-finiteness property for measurable functions will be proved first.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence of simple functions, μ-converging to a mapping f. Then there exist an (o)-sequence $(\beta_k)_k$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$, an increasing sequence $(N_k)_k$ of positive integers and a sequence $(H_k)_k$ in $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(H_k) \leq \beta_k$ and $\{t \in G : |f(t)| \not\leq N_k e\} \subset H_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Thanks to μ-convergence, there exist an (o)-sequence $(\sigma_k)_k$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$, an (o)-sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_k$ in the real interval $]0,1]$ and a sequence $(F^k)_k$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that, for every integer k and every $z \in F^k$ there exists an element $A_z^k \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\mu(A_z^k) \leq \sigma_p$ and $\{t \in G : |f(t) - f_z(t)| \not\leq \varepsilon_k e\} \subset A_z^k$.

Now for every k let us denote by z_k the least element of F^k and by N_k any positive integer such that $|f_{z_k}(t)| \leq N_k e$ for every $t \in G$, and set $H_k := A_{z_k}^k$. Then for every integer k it is $\mu(H_k) \leq \sigma_k$ and $\{t \in G : |f(t) - f_{z_k}(t)| \not\leq e\} \subset H_k$, and therefore $\{t \in G : |f(t)| \not\leq (N_k + 1)e\} \subset H_k$, from which the assertion follows, just replacing σ_k with β_k and $N_k + 1$ with N_k. □

Proposition 3.5. *If f, $g \in L^p$, then $f + g \in L^p$.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ be two defining sequences for f, g, respectively. From the properties of μ-convergence it follows that the sequence $(f_n + g_n)_n$ is μ-convergent to $f + g$. Moreover, since $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ are equi-absolutely continuous (with respect to the modular ι), it is not difficult to check that $(f_n + g_n)_n$ is too.

Indeed, if $(\sigma_k)_k$ is any (o)-sequence in $\mathbb{R}^+$, then there are two (o)-sequences

$(w_k)_k$ and $(w_k^{'})_k$ in $\mathbf{X}$ and two sequences $(\Xi_k)_k, (\Xi_k^{'})_k$ in $\mathcal{F}$, such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it is $\iota(f_z 1_B) \leq w_k$, $\iota(g_k 1_B) \leq w_k^{'}$ as soon as $z \in \Xi_k \cap \Xi_k^{'}$ and $\mu(B) \leq \sigma_k$. Thus, choosing $w_k^* = w_k + w_k^{'}$ and $\Xi_k^* = \Xi_k \cap \Xi_k^{'}$, it is $\iota((f_n + g_n)1_B) \leq w_k^*$ as soon as $\mu(B) \leq \sigma_k$ and $z \in \Xi_k^*$. This proves property $(ac_\rho(1))$.

Moreover, there are two (o)-sequences $(r_m)_m, (r_m^{'})_m$ in $\mathbf{X}^+$ and two sequences $(B_m)_m, (B_m^{'})_m$ in $\mathcal{A}_b$ such that the sets $\Lambda_m := \{z \in Z : \iota(f_z 1_{G\setminus B_m} \leq r_m\}$, $\Lambda_m^{'} := \{z \in Z : \iota(g_z 1_{G\setminus B_m^{'}} \leq r_m^{'}\}$ belong to $\mathcal{F}$. So, taking $r_m^* = r_m + r_m^{'}$ and $B_m^* = B_m \cup B_m^{'}$, for every $z \in \Lambda_m \cap \Lambda_m^{'}$ it is

$$\iota((f_z+g_z)1_{G\setminus B_m^*}) \leq \iota(f_z 1_{G\setminus B_m^*}) + \iota(g_z 1_{G\setminus B_m^*}) \leq \iota(f_z 1_{G\setminus B_m}) + \iota(g_z 1_{G\setminus B_m^{'}}) \leq r_m^*,$$

which proves $(ac_\rho(2))$.

Now for the same reason, since $(f_n^p)_n$ and $(g_n^p)_n$ are defining sequences for f^p, g^p, respectively, we deduce that $(|f_n|^p + |g_n|^p)_n$ is an equi-absolutely continuous sequence (we recall that the absolute continuity is essentially a condition on $|f|$). From this, since $|f_n + g_n|^p \leq (|f_n| + |g_n|)^p \leq 2^p(|f_n| \vee |g_n|)^p \leq 2^p(|f_n|^p + |g_n|^p)$, it is clear that the sequence $(f_n + g_n)^p$ is equi-absolutely continuous. The next step is to prove that the sequence $((f_n + g_n)^p)_n$ is μ-convergent to $(f + g)^p$. Thanks to μ-convergence of the four sequences $(f_n)_n$, (g_n), $(f_n^p)_n$, $(g_n^p)_n$, there exist an (o)-sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_k$ in $]0, 1[$ and a sequence $(F_k)_k$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that for every integer k and every $z \in F_k$ there is $A_k^z \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(A_k^z) \leq \sigma_p$, and furthermore

$$\{t \in G : |f_z(t) - f(t)| \not\leq \varepsilon_k e\} \cup \{t \in G : |g_z(t) - g(t)| \not\leq \varepsilon_k e\} \subset A_k^z,$$
$$\{t \in G : |f_z^p(t) - f^p(t)| \not\leq \varepsilon_k e\} \cup \{t \in G : |g_z^p(t) - g^p(t)| \not\leq \varepsilon_k e\} \subset A_k^z.$$

As in Lemma 3.4, without loss of generality, the quantities β_k, N_k, H_k here obtained can be considered the same for both f, g.

Now a subsequence $(\varepsilon_k^{'})_k$ of $(\varepsilon_k)_k$ will be found such that $\varepsilon_k^{'} \leq (kp2^p(N_k + 1)^p)^{-1}$ for every k. In correspondence with $(\varepsilon_k^{'})_k$, we denote by $(\sigma_k^{'})_k$ and $(F_k^{'})_k$ the subsequences of $(\sigma_k)_k$ and $(F_k)_k$, respectively. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose an element $z \in F_k^{'}$ and let $t \notin A_k^z \cup H_k$. Then it is

$$(f_z(t) + g_z(t))^p - (f(t) + g(t))^p = [(f_z(t) - f(t)) + (g_z(t) - g(t)] \cdot$$
$$\{(f_z(t) + g_z(t))^{p-1} + (f_z(t) + g_z(t))^{p-2}(f(t) + g(t)) + \ldots + (f(t) + g(t))^{p-1}\},$$

and therefore $|(f_z(t)+g_z(t))^p - (f(t)+g(t))^p| \leq 2\varepsilon_k^{'} p 2^p (N_k+1)^p \leq \dfrac{2}{k} e \downarrow 0$. Since $\mu(A_k^z \cup H_k) \leq \beta_k + \sigma_k^{'}$, this is sufficient to conclude the proof of μ-convergence. Thus also the double sequence $(n, k) \mapsto (f_n + g_n)^p - (f_k + g_k)^p$ is equi-absolutely continuous and μ-convergent to 0 (with respect to the product filter $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}$). From this, thanks to [15, Theorem 2.3], the integrals

$$\int_G |(f_n(t) + g_n(t))^p - (f_k(t) + g_k(t))^p| d\mu(t)$$

converge to 0 as $n, k \to \infty$, and so

$$\int_E (f_n(t) + g_n(t))^p - (f_k(t) + g_k(t))^p d\mu(t)$$

converge to 0 uniformly with respect to E, which in turn implies that the limit

$$(o_{\mathcal{F}}) \lim_n \int_E (f_n(t) + g_n(t))^p d\mu(t)$$

exists uniformly with respect to E (see also [18, Proposition 2.14]), thus proving that $((f_n + g_n)^p)_n$ is a defining sequence for $(f + g)^p$. □

One easily sees that, if $f \in L^p$, also $|f|$ is and the map $f \mapsto \int_G |f|^p d\mu$ is a monotone and finite modular in L^p.

A norm in the space L^p can be defined in the following way:

$$\|f\|_p := \inf\Big\{\varepsilon > 0 : \int_G \Big(\frac{|f(t)|}{\varepsilon}\Big)^p d\mu(t) \le e\Big\} = \Big\|\int_G |f(t)|^p d\mu(t)\Big\|_e^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $\|x\|_e = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : |x| \le \varepsilon e\}$ is the M-norm in $\mathbf{X}$ associated with e (see also [26]).

It is not difficult to verify that $\|\cdot\|_p$ is positively homogeneous; in order to prove the Minkowski inequality the Maeda-Ogasawara-Vulikh representation theorem for vector lattices is needed (see also [32, 41, 52]).

Theorem 3.6. (MOV representation [52, §1]) *Given a Dedekind complete vector lattice* $\mathbf{X}$ *with order unit* e*, there exists a compact extremely disconnected topological space* Ω*, unique up to homeomorphisms, such that* $\mathbf{X}$ *is algebraically and lattice isomorphic to* $C(\Omega) := \{h \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega : h \text{ is continuous}\}$.

This allows to prove the following

Proposition 3.7. (Minkowski inequality) *For every* $p \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $f, g \in L^p$*, it is*

$$\|f + g\|_p \le \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

Proof. Let Ω be as in Theorem 3.6. By Remark 2.1 and [42, Lemma], for every $\alpha \in]0, 1[$, $t \in G$ and $\omega \in \Omega$ it is

$$(|f(t)(\omega)| + |g(t)(\omega)|)^p \le \alpha^{1-p}|f(t)(\omega)|^p + (1 - \alpha)^{1-p}|g(t)(\omega)|^p. \tag{3.3}$$

Since, by [32, Corollary 2.20] and Theorem 3.6 the representation preserves the multiplication, from (3.3) it is for each $\alpha \in]0, 1[$ and $t \in G$ it is

$$(|f(t)| + |g(t)|)^p \le \alpha^{1-p}|f(t)|^p + (1 - \alpha)^{1-p}|g(t)|^p.$$

So, following again [42], it is

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G |f(t)+g(t)|^p d\mu(t) &\leq \int_G (|f(t)|+|g(t)|)^p d\mu(t) \leq \\ &\leq \int_G (\alpha^{1-p}|f(t)|^p + (1-\alpha)^{1-p}|g(t)|^p) d\mu(t) = \\ &= \alpha^{1-p}\int_G |f(t)|^p d\mu(t) + (1-\alpha)^{1-p}\int_G |g(t)|^p d\mu(t) \leq \\ &\leq \int_G |f(t)|^p d\mu(t) + \int_G |g(t)|^p d\mu(t)\end{aligned}$$

Now, since

$$\|f+g\|_p = \left\| \int_G |f(t)+g(t)|^p d\mu(t) \right\|_e^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and by the monotonicity of the M-norm, the conclusion follows. □

Moreover one can see that, when $\|f\|_p = 0$, then $\int_G |f(t)|^p d\mu(t) = 0$, which implies that $\mu(E) = 0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $E \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(t) \geq \varepsilon e$ for all $t \in E$. This property can be expressed by saying that f is *essentially μ-null*. The essentially μ-null functions in L^p form a subspace, which allows us to introduce an equivalence relation in L^p, by setting

$$f \sim g \quad \text{iff} \quad f-g \quad \text{is essentially} \quad \mu\text{-null}.$$

There is a large literature on completeness of L^p spaces, see for example [11,31]. In this setting the space L^p is not complete in general, as the following example shows.

Example 3.8. Following [11, Example 2.2], let $G = \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be the family of all finite or cofinite sets. Observe that the completion of $\mathcal{A}$ in the sense of [11, Section 1.6] is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$. Let

$$\mu(A) := \begin{cases} \mu(A) = \sum_{i\in A} 2^{-i}, & \text{if } A \text{ is finite,} \\ \\ \mu(A) = 2 - \sum_{i\in A^c} 2^{-i}, & \text{if } A \text{ is cofinite.} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

In this setting, the μ-convergence of a sequence f_n to f can be expressed in the following way: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $n > n(\varepsilon)$, it is $\mu^*(\{s \in \mathbb{N} : |f_n(s) - f(s)| \not\leq \varepsilon e\}) \leq \varepsilon$, where μ^* denotes the usual outer measure.
Let $A_n = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})_n \subset L^*$ and then $\mathbf{1}_{A_n} \in L^p$ for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^p. In fact, for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is $\int_{\mathbb{N}} |\mathbf{1}_{A_n}(s) - \mathbf{1}_{A_m}(s)|^p d\mu(s) = e^p \mu(A_n \triangle A_m)$. Suppose, by

contradiction, that L^p is complete, so there exists $f \in L^1$ such that $(\mathbf{1}_{A_n})_n$ converges to f in L^1. Following [11, Proposition 1.8 (b) and Lemma 2.1] we will show that $f = \mathbf{1}_A$ for some $A \subset \mathbb{N}$. Let $(n_k)_k$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu^*(\{s \in \mathbb{N} : |\mathbf{1}_{A_{n_k}}(s) - f(s)| \not\leq k^{-1}\}) \leq k^{-1}e$. Let $B_k = \{s \in \mathbb{N} : |\mathbf{1}_{A_{n_k}}(s) - f(s)| \not\leq k^{-1}e\}$. As in [11, Lemma 2.1], it follows that $s \in A_{n_k} \cap B_k^c \Longrightarrow |\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{N}}(s) - f(s)| \leq k^{-1}e$; $\quad s \in A_{n_k}^c \cap B_k^c \Longrightarrow |f(s)| \leq k^{-1}e$. Let now $A := \cup_{k=3}^{\infty}(A_{n_k} \cap B_k^c)$, then $A \triangle A_{n_k} \subset B_k$, and hence $\int_{\mathbb{N}} |\mathbf{1}_{A_n}(s) - \mathbf{1}_A(s)| d\mu(s) = \mu(A_n \triangle A)e \to 0$. So, $f \sim \mathbf{1}_A$ and $\mu(A_n) \to 1 = \mu^*(A)$, but there is no $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\mu^*(A) = 1$. This is a contradiction, and so the space L^p is not complete, with respect to the measure μ defined in (3.4).

4. Applications

4.1. **Inequalities.** In order to investigate some main inequalities in L^p spaces, the following notions and results will be given.

Definition 4.1. The function $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbf{X}$ is said to be *uniformly continuous* iff there are a positive element $u \in \mathbf{X}$ and two (o)-sequences $(\sigma_p)_p$ and $(\delta_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$, respectively, such that $|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq \sigma_p u$ whenever $t_1,\ t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $|t_1 - t_2| \leq \delta_p$.

Definition 4.2. ([15, Definition 3.11]) Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a connected set, and $f : I \to \mathbf{X}$. The function f is said to be *uniformly differentiable on* I iff there exist a bounded function $g : I \to \mathbf{X}$ and two (o)-sequences, $(\sigma_p)_p$ and $(\delta_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$, respectively, with

$$\bigvee\Big\{\Big|\frac{f(v) - f(u)}{v - u} - g(x)\Big| : u \leq x \leq v, 0 < v - u \leq \delta_p\Big\} \leq \sigma_p e$$

for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case g is said to be the *uniform derivative* of f in I.

Definition 4.3. A function $f : \mathbf{X}_1 \to \mathbf{X}$ is said to be a *convex function*, iff for every $v \in \mathbf{X}_1$ there exists $\beta_v \in \mathbf{X}_1$ with

$$f(s) \geq f(v) + \beta_v(s - v) \tag{4.1}$$

for each $s \in \mathbf{X}_1$. When $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbb{R}$, this means

$$f(t) \leq f(t_1) + \frac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}(t - t_1) \quad \text{whenever } t, t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}, t_1 < t < t_2.$$

Let $[a, b]$ be a compact subinterval of the real line. If $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{X}$ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$, then the following characterization of convex vector lattice-valued functions will be obtained.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that $f : [a, b] \to \mathbf{X}$ is a uniformly continuous function. Then f is convex if and only if for every $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$ it is*

$$f\Big(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2}\Big) \leq \frac{f(\alpha) + f(\beta)}{2}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. We begin with proving the "only if" part. We can show that (4.1) implies that $f(c\alpha + (1-c)\beta) \leq cf(\alpha) + (1-c)f(\beta)$ for all α, β in $[a,b]$ and $c \in [0,1]$. Then clearly (4.2) will follow immediately, taking $c = 1/2$. To prove the claim, fix α, β, c as above, and take $v = c\alpha + (1-c)\beta$. Then from (4.1) it follows $f(\beta) \geq f(v) + \beta_v c(\beta - \alpha)$, and $f(\alpha) \geq f(v) + \beta_v(1-c)(\alpha - \beta)$, by choosing first $s = \beta$ and then $s = \alpha$. Now it is $(1-c)f(\beta) \geq (1-c)f(v) + \beta_v c(1-c)(\beta - \alpha)$, and $cf(\alpha) \geq cf(v) + \beta_v c(1-c)(\alpha - \beta)$. Summing up the two last inequalities, the implication follows.
We now prove the "if" part. By usual techniques, from (4.2) it follows that $f(q\alpha + (1-q)\beta) \leq qf(\alpha) + (1-q)f(\beta)$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in [a,b]$ with $\alpha < \beta$, and for any dyadic rational number $q \in [0,1]$. Since the set of all dyadic rationals of $[0,1]$ is dense in $[0,1]$, by continuity of f it is not difficult to deduce that

$$f(c\alpha + (1-c)\beta) \leq cf(\alpha) + (1-c)f(\beta) \tag{4.3}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in [a,b]$ with $\alpha < \beta$, and $c \in [0,1]$.

Now we claim that f is convex. Indeed, if t, t_1, $t_2 \in [a,b]$ and $t_1 < t < t_2$, one can write $t = \delta t_1 + (1-\delta)t_2$, where $\delta = \dfrac{t_2 - t}{t_2 - t_1}$. A simple application of the inequality in (4.3) yields $f(t) \leq f(t_1) + \dfrac{f(t_2) - f(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}(t - t_1)$. This ends the proof. □

Some inequalities for convex vector lattice-valued functions will be proven now.

Theorem 4.5. *If $f : [a,b] \to \mathbf{X}$ is uniformly continuous and $\varphi : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$ is convex, then $\varphi \circ f$ is uniformly continuous too.*

Proof. By uniform continuity of f there are a positive element $u \in \mathbf{X}$ and two (o)-sequences $(\sigma_p)_p$, $(\delta_p)_p$ in $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbb{R}^+$, respectively, such that $|f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq \sigma_p u$ for all t_1, $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta_p$. Using (4.1) with $v = f(t_1)$, it is $\varphi(f(t_2)) \geq \varphi(f(t_1)) + \beta_{f(t_1)}(f(t_2) - f(t_1))$, while for $v = f(t_2)$ one has $\varphi(f(t_1)) \geq \varphi(f(t_2)) + \beta_{f(t_2)}(f(t_1) - f(t_2))$. Setting $\alpha := |\beta_{f(t_1)}| \vee |\beta_{f(t_2)}|$, finally it follows $|\varphi(f(t_1)) - \varphi(f(t_2))| \leq \alpha |f(t_1) - f(t_2)| \leq \alpha\, u\, \sigma_p$ whenever $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta_p$. □

Theorem 4.6. (Jensen inequality) *If $f : [a,b] \to \mathbf{X}$ is uniformly continuous and $\varphi : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$ is convex, then*

$$\varphi\Big(\int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t)\Big) \leq \int_a^b \varphi(f(t))d\mu(t)$$

whenever $\mu([a,b]) = 1$.

Proof. By [15, Proposition 3.12] and Proposition 4.5, both f and $\varphi \circ f$ are bounded and integrable. Let $\tau := \int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t)$ and $m, M \in \mathbf{X}$ be such that $m \leq f(t) \leq M$ for every $t \in [a,b]$. Let β_τ be the element associated to τ according to Definition 4.3. Then

$$\varphi(f(t)) \geq \varphi(\tau) + \beta_\tau(f(t) - \tau).$$

Integrating both members, it is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \varphi(f(t))d\mu(t) &\geq \varphi\Big(\int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t)\Big) + \int_a^b \beta_\tau(f(t)-\tau)d\mu(t) = \\ &= \varphi\Big(\int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t)\Big), \end{aligned}$$

that is the assertion. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbf{X}$ be a uniformly differentiable and convex function, and set*

$$r(t) := f\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big) + f'\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big)\Big(t - \frac{a+b}{2}\Big), \quad t \in [a,b]. \tag{4.4}$$

Then $f(t) \geq r(t)$ for every $t \in [a,b]$.

Proof. Fix arbitrarily t_1, $t_2 \in [a,b]$ with $t_1 < t_2$. By convexity of f, for every $t \in]t_1, t_2[$, it is

$$\frac{f(t)-f(t_1)}{t-t_1} \leq \frac{f(t_2)-f(t_1)}{t_2-t_1}, \quad \frac{f(t)-f(t_2)}{t-t_2} \geq \frac{f(t_2)-f(t_1)}{t_2-t_1}. \tag{4.5}$$

Thanks to uniform differentiability of f, (4.5) implies

$$f'(t_1) \leq \frac{f(t_2)-f(t_1)}{t_2-t_1} \leq f'(t_2). \tag{4.6}$$

Using the first inequality in (4.6) with $t_1 = \dfrac{a+b}{2}$, $t_2 = t$, it follows

$$f(t) \geq f\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big) + f'\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big)\Big(t - \frac{a+b}{2}\Big) = r(t).$$

By applying the second inequality in (4.6) with $t_1 = t$, $t_2 = \dfrac{a+b}{2}$, we obtain again $f(t) \geq r(t)$. The assertion follows from arbitrariness of t_1 and t_2. □

Theorem 4.8. (Hermite-Hadamard inequality) *Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbf{X}$ be a uniformly differentiable and convex function. Then*

$$f\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big) \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t) \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}.$$

Proof. Let r be as in (4.4), and set

$$r^*(t) = f(a) + \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}(t-a), \quad t \in [a,b]. \tag{4.7}$$

By [15, Proposition 3.12], $f \in L^1(\lambda)$ and

$$\int_a^b r(t)d\mu(t) = (b-a)f\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big) \leq \int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t).$$

Since f is convex, $f(t) \leq r^*(t)$ for every $t \in [a,b]$ and

$$\int_a^b f(t)d\mu(t) \leq \int_a^b r^*(t)d\mu(t) = (b-a)\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}.$$

□

Theorem 4.9. (Féjer inequality) *Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbf{X}$ be a uniformly differentiable and convex function and let $w : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a uniformly continuous map such that $w(a+t) = w(b-t)$ for every $0 \leq t \leq \dfrac{a+b}{2}$. Then*

$$f\Big(\frac{a+b}{2}\Big)\int_a^b w(t)d\mu(t) \leq \int_a^b f(t)w(t)d\mu(t) \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\int_a^b w(t)d\mu(t).$$

Proof. Again by [15, Proposition 3.12], fw is in $L^1(\lambda)$. Let r, r^* be as in (4.4) and (4.7), respectively. Then

$$r(t)w(t) \leq f(t)w(t) \leq r^*(t)w(t), \quad t \in [a,b]. \tag{4.8}$$

By integrating all members in (4.8) the assertion follows, since

$$\frac{b+a}{2}\int_a^b w(t)d\mu(t) = \int_a^b tw(t)d\mu(t).$$

□

In this setting the case $p = 2$ is particularly interesting. Indeed it is:

Theorem 4.10. (Schwartz inequality) *If f, $g \in L^2$, then fg is integrable. Moreover it is*

$$\Big(\int_G |f(t)g(t)|d\mu(t)\Big)^2 \leq \Big(\int_G (f(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)\Big(\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big),$$
$$\sqrt{\Big\|\Big(\int_G |f(t)g(t)|d\mu(t)\Big)^2\Big\|_e} \leq \|f\|_2\|g\|_2.$$

Proof. Since f, $g \in L^2$, there exist defining sequences $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$, related to f and g, such that $(f_n^2)_n$ and $(g_n^2)_n$ are defining for f^2, g^2 respectively. Then, $f+g \in L^2$ and $(f_n+g_n)^2$ is defining for $(f+g)^2$ (see also Proposition 3.5). This means that $f_n g_n := 1/2((f_n+g_n)^2 - f_n^2 - g_n^2)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a defining sequence for

fg and this shows that fg is integrable. Observe also that all involved mappings can be taken non negative. Now, in order to prove the Schwartz inequality, thanks to the definition of integral, it is sufficient to prove it just for simple functions f and g. So, assume that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i 1_{E_i}, \quad g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i 1_{E_i}$$

(without loss of generality, we can take the same partition for both mappings). Now

$$\int_G f(t)g(t)d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i d_i \mu(E_i), \quad \int_G (f(t))^2 d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 \mu(E_i),$$
$$\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 \mu(E_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\Big(\int_G f(t)g(t)d\mu(t)\Big)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 d_i^2 \mu(E_i) + 2\sum_{i<j} c_i c_j d_i d_j \mu(E_i)\mu(E_j),$$

$$\Big(\int_G (f(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2 \Big(\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2 = \sum_i \sum_j c_i^2 d_j^2 \mu(E_i)\mu(E_j) +$$
$$+ \sum_{i<j} c_i^2 d_j^2 \mu(E_i)\mu(E_j) + \sum_{i<j} c_j^2 d_i^2 \mu(E_i)\mu(E_j).$$

Comparing the last two formulas, it is clear that

$$\Big(\int_G (f(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2 \cdot \Big(\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2 - \Big(\int_G f(t)g(t)d\mu(t)\Big)^2 =$$
$$= \sum_{i<j} (c_i^2 d_j^2 + c_j^2 d_i^2 - 2c_i c_j d_i d_j)\mu(E_i)\mu(E_j).$$

Since $c_i^2 d_j^2 + c_j^2 d_i^2 - 2c_i c_j d_i d_j = (c_i d_j - c_j d_i)^2$ for all i, j, easily it follows

$$\Big(\int_G f(t)g(t)d\mu(t)\Big)^2 \le \Big(\int_G (f(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2 \Big(\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2.$$

Taking the norm, we finally deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\|\Big(\int_G f(t)g(t)d\mu(t)\Big)^2\Big\|_e &\le \Big\|\Big(\int_G (f(t)^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2 \Big(\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2\Big\|_e \le \\ &\le \Big\|\Big(\int_G (f(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2\Big\|_e \cdot \Big\|\Big(\int_G (g(t))^2 d\mu(t)\Big)^2\Big\|_e, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the idempotence of e. In conclusion

$$\sqrt{\Big\|\Big(\int_G f(t)g(t)d\mu(t)\Big)^2\Big\|_e} \leq \|f\|_2\|g\|_2.$$

□

4.2. **The Brownian Motion.** In order to obtain a concrete application in Stochastic Integration, we assume that $\mathbf{B} := (B_t)_{0\leq t<T} : [0,T] \to L^2(\Omega)$ (with $T < +\infty$) is the standard Brownian Motion defined on a probability space (Ω, Σ, P). As observed in [15], L^2 has not an order unit, but thanks to the well-known Maximum Principle (see e.g. [23]) there is a positive element $Z \in L^2$ with $|B(t)| \leq Z$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. Moreover, there exists a positive random variable W in L^2 such that $|B(t+h) - B(t)| \leq |h|^{1/4}W$ whenever $t, t+h \in [0,T]$ (see e.g. [33, 44]). Thus, taking $\mathbf{X}$ as the (complete) subspace of L^2 generated by all elements dominated by some real multiple of $W+Z$, we see that $\mathbf{X}$ has an order unit (i.e. $W+Z$), and $\mathbf{B}$ is a uniformly continuous $\mathbf{X}$-valued function defined on $[0,T]$.

Example 4.11. Fix now $T > a > 1$, and set

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a \quad \text{or} \quad t \geq T, \\ (t-T)(B_t - B_a), & \text{if } a \leq t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Note that f is a uniformly continuous function from $[0, +\infty[$ to $L^2(\Omega)$. Then

$$\Phi(x,s) = \frac{x}{s^x}\int_0^s f(t)t^{x-1}d\mu(t) \tag{4.9}$$

is a solution of the partial differential equation $s\dfrac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial x\partial s} + x\dfrac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} + \Phi(x,s) = f(s)$. Indeed, it is sufficient to differentiate with respect to x the equation

$$s\frac{\partial\varphi(x,s)}{\partial s} + x\varphi(x,s) = xf(s), \tag{4.10}$$

taking into account that it admits the solution $\varphi(x,s) = \dfrac{1}{s^x}\Big(c + x\int_0^s f(t)t^{x-1}d\mu(t)\Big)$, where c is an arbitrary real constant.

A more general solution for the previous partial differential equation is

$$\Phi(x,s) = F(s) + \frac{G(x)}{s^x} + \frac{x}{s^x}\int_0^s f(t)t^{x-1}d\mu(t),$$

where F and G are arbitrary sufficiently regular functions.

In the following two figures, the graphs of the square of the Brownian Bridge f and its corresponding surface $\varphi(x,s)$ are represented, respectively.



FIGURE 1. The f^2 graph.



FIGURE 2. The surface $\varphi(x, s)$ associated with f^2.

The two graphs show also that the surface $\varphi(x, s)$ uniformly converges to $f(s)$ as x tends to $+\infty$, according to [15, Proposition 3.13]: this could be verified, comparing the graph of Figure 1 with the section of the surface in Figure 2 corresponding to $x = 50$.

The previous example suggests a different type of operator, acting with respect to stochastic differentials. Indeed, with the same notations as above,

Example 4.12. Let

$$\Psi(x,s) := \frac{x}{s^x}\int_0^s t^{x-1}df(t),$$

where now $df(t)$ is the stochastic differential of the *Brownian Bridge* $f(t)$, namely $df(t) = (B_t - B_a)dt + (t-T)dB_t$, when $a \le t \le T$.

The existence of the above integral is ensured by the fact that $t \mapsto t^{x-1}$ is a function of class C^1, and the trajectories of $f(t)$ are continuous maps. Moreover, the well-known formula of integration by parts leads to

$$\Psi(x,s) = \frac{x}{s^x}\Big(s^{x-1}f(s) - \int_0^s f(t)d(t^{x-1})\Big) = \frac{x}{s}f(s) - \frac{x(x-1)}{s^x}\int_0^s f(t)t^{x-2}dt.$$

So, in conclusion

$$\Psi(x,s) = \frac{x}{s}(f(s) - \Phi(x-1,s)), \tag{4.11}$$

where Φ is as in (4.9). This leads to a stochastic differential equation satisfied by Ψ.

However, in order to avoid confusion, we point out that expressions like $d\Psi$ or $d\Phi$ always refer to the variable s, since x is just a parameter.
Differentiating (4.11), it follows

$$d\Psi(x,s) = -\frac{x}{s^2}(f(s) - \Phi(x-1,s))ds + \frac{x}{s}(df(s) - \Phi'(x-1,s)ds).$$

(Here the *stochastic differential* involved is df, rather than dB).
Thanks to (4.11) and (4.10), it is

$$d\Psi(x,s) = -\frac{1}{s}\Psi(x,s)ds + \frac{x}{s}df(s) - \frac{x}{s}\frac{(x-1)(f(s) - \Phi(x-1,s))}{s}ds,$$

and, again by (4.11), $d\Psi(x,s) = -\frac{1}{s}\Psi(x,s)ds + \frac{x}{s}df(s) - \frac{x-1}{s}\Psi(x,s)ds$. In conclusion, after a final simplification, $\Psi(x,s)$ satisfies the stochastic equation

$$d\Psi(x,s) = -\frac{x}{s}\Psi(x,s)ds + \frac{x}{s}df(s). \tag{4.12}$$

A more general solution of the last equation is

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(x,s) = \frac{1}{s^x}\Big(k + x\int_0^s t^{x-1}df\Big),$$

where k is an arbitrary constant.

Another interesting application of this type of operator can be found in detecting a regular signal, when it is distorted by a random noise. More precisely

Example 4.13. Let $h : [0, +\infty[\to \mathbb{R}$ be any C^1 map, satisfying the condition that $h(x) = h'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [0, a]$ and $x \geq T$, where a, T are fixed positive numbers, $1 < a < T$. As above, let f denote the Brownian Bridge, like in Example 4.11, and fix any positive number ε. Then set $G(s) = h(s) + \varepsilon f(s)$, for $s \in [0, +\infty[$, and

$$\Psi_G(x, s) = \frac{x}{s^x} \int_0^s t^{x-1} dG(s),$$

i.e.

$$\Psi_G(x, s) = \frac{x}{s^x} \int_0^s t^{x-1} h'(t) dt + \frac{x}{s^x} \int_0^s \varepsilon t^{x-1} df(t).$$

Thanks to (4.10) and (4.11),

$$\Psi_G(x, s) = \Phi_{h'}(x, s) + \frac{\varepsilon x}{s} \Big(f(s) - \Phi_f(x - 1, s) \Big),$$

where the operator Φ has the same meaning as in Example 4.11. Now, thanks to [15, Proposition 3.13], $\lim\limits_{x \to +\infty} \Phi_{h'}(x, s) = h'(s)$ and

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} (f(s) - \Phi_f(x - 1, s)) = 0,$$

both uniformly with respect to s. So, choosing $x = \varepsilon^{-1}$ in the above quantities, it is

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Psi_G(\varepsilon^{-1}, s) = h'(s).$$

Conclusions

After an abstract introduction of L^p spaces for vector lattice-valued functions, some applications are found, first extending some classical inequalities to the vector lattice setting, and then finding approximations for stochastic processes like the Brownian Motion and the Brownian Bridge, based on the moment operator; as an outcome, this method leads to solve some stochastic differential equations.

References

[1] L. Angeloni, G. Vinti, *Approximation with Respect to Goffman-Serrin Variation by Means of Non-Convolution Type Integral Operators*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **31** (2010), 519–548.

[2] L. Angeloni, G. Vinti, *Approximation in variation by homothetic operators in multidimensional setting*, Diff. Int. Equat. **26** (5-6) (2013), 655–674.

[3] C. Bardaro, A. Boccuto, X. Dimitriou, I. Mantellini, *Modular filter convergence theorems for abstract sampling-type operators*, Appl. Anal. **92** (11) (2013), 2404–2423.

[4] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, *Approximation of the Whittaker Sampling Series in terms of an Average Modulus of Smoothness covering Discontinuous Signals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **316** (2006), 269–306.

[5] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, I. Mantellini, *The foundations of fractional calculus in Mellin transform setting and applications*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **21** (5), (2015), 961-1017.

[6] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, I. Mantellini, *The Mellin-Parseval formula and its interconnections with the exponential sampling theorem of optical physics*, Integral Transf. Special Funct. **27** (1), (2016), 17-29.

[7] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, *Kantorovich-Type Generalized Sampling Series in the Setting of Orlicz Spaces*, Sampling Theory in Signal and Image Processing **6** (2006), 29–52.

[8] C. Bardaro, P. L. Butzer, R. L. Stens, G. Vinti, *Prediction by samples from the past with error estimates covering discontinuous signals*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **56** (1) (2010), 614-633.

[9] C. Bardaro, J. Musielak, G. Vinti, Nonlinear Integral Operators and Applications, de Gruyter, Berlin (2003).

[10] P. Billingsley, Probability and Measure, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1986).

[11] A. Basile, K. P. S. Bhaskara Rao *Completeness of L^P-Spaces in the Finitely Additive Setting and Related Stories*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **248** (2), (2000), 588-624.

[12] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro, *Integral and Differential Calculus in Riesz spaces and applications*, J. Appl. Funct. Anal. **3** (2008), 89-111.

[13] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro, *Integral and ideals in Riesz spaces*, Inform. Sci. **179** (2009), 647-660.

[14] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro, *Differential Calculus in Riesz Spaces and applications to g-calculus*, Mediterranean J. Math. **8 (3)** (2011), 315-329.

[15] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro, A. R. Sambucini, *Vitali-type theorems for filter convergence related to vector lattice-valued modulars and applications to stochastic processes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **419** (2) (2014), 818–838, DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.05.014

[16] A. Boccuto, D. Candeloro, A. R. Sambucini, *Henstock multivalued integrability in Banach lattices with respect to pointwise non atomic measures*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **26** (4), 2015, 363-383 DOI: 10.4171/RLM/710

[17] A. Boccuto, X. Dimitriou, *Modular filter convergence theorems for Urysohn integral operators and applications*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) **29** (6), (2013), 1055–1066.

[18] A. Boccuto, X. Dimitriou, *Modular convergence theorems for integral operators in the context of filter exhaustiveness and applications*, Mediterranean J. Math. **10** (2) (2013), 823-842. doi:10.1007/s00009-012-0199-z

[19] A. Boccuto, X. Dimitriou, *Convergence Theorems for Lattice Group-Valued Measures*, Bentham Science Publ., U. A. E., (2015).

[20] A. Boccuto, X. Dimitriou, N. Papanastassiou, *Schur lemma and limit theorems in lattice groups with respect to filters,* Math. Slovaca **62** (6) (2012), 1145–1166.

[21] A. Boccuto, A. R. Sambucini, *Comparison between different types of abstract integrals in Riesz spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **46** (2) (1997), 255–278.

[22] A. Boccuto, A. R. Sambucini *Addendum to: Comparison between different types of abstract integrals in Riesz Spaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **49**(2) (2000), 395–396.

[23] P. Breiman, Probability, Addison-Wesley, Reading, (1968).

[24] P. L. Butzer, R. J. Nessel, Fourier Analysis and Approximation, Pure Appl. Math. **40**, Academic Press, New York, London, (1971).

[25] D. Candeloro, A. R. Sambucini, *Filter convergence and decompositions for vector lattice-valued measures*, Mediterranean J. Math. **12** (3) (2015), 621–637. Doi 10.1007/s00009-014-0431-0.

[26] D. Candeloro, A. R. Sambucini, *Order-type Henstock and McShane integrals in Banach lattice setting*, IEEE 12th International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Informatics (SISY), September 1113, 2014, Subotica, Serbia (2014), 55–59.

[27] P. Cavaliere, P. de Lucia, A. De Simone, *Functions determining locally solid topological Riesz spaces continuously embedded in L^0*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **26** (2) (2015), 151 - 160.
[28] J. R. Choksi, *Vitali's convergence theorem on term by term integration,* L' Enseignement Mathématique **47** (2001), 269-285.
[29] F. Cluni, D. Costarelli, A. M. Minotti, G. Vinti *Enhancement of thermographic images as tool for structural analysis in earthquake engineering*, NDT & E International **70** (2015), 60-72.
[30] D. Costarelli, G. Vinti *Order of approximation for sampling Kantorovich operators*, J. Int. Equat. Appl. **26** (3) (2014), 345–368.
[31] P. de Lucia, H. Weber, *Completeness of function spaces* Ricerche Mat. **39** (1) (1990), 81-97.
[32] W. Filter, *Representations of Archimedean Riesz Spaces A Survey*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **24** (3), (1994), 771-851. Doi:10.1216/rmjm/1181072375.
[33] A. M. Garsia, E. Rodemich, H. Rumsey Jr., *A real variable lemma and the continuity of paths of some gaussian processes*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970/71), 565–578.
[34] J. J. Grobler, *Jensens and martingale inequalities in Riesz spaces*, Indagationes Mathematicae **25**, (2), (2014), 275–295.
[35] J. J. Grobler, C.C.A. Labuschagne, *The Ito integral for Brownian motion in vector lattices: Part 1*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **423**, (1), (2015), 797–819.
[36] J. J. Grobler, C.C.A. Labuschagne, *The Ito integral for Brownian motion in vector lattices: Part 2*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **423** (1), (2015), 820–833.
[37] J. J. Grobler, C.C.A. Labuschagne, V. Marraffa, *Quadratic variation of martingales in Riesz spaces* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **410**, (1), (2014), 418–426.
[38] R. B. Holmes, *Mathematical foundations of signal processing*, SIAM Review **21** (3) (1979), 361–388.
[39] W. M. Kozlowski, Modular function spaces, Pure Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, New York, (1988).
[40] G. Letta, Martingales et Integration Stochastique, Quaderni S. N. S. Pisa, (1984).
[41] W. A. J. Luxemburg, A. C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces, I, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam (1971).
[42] L. Maligranda, *A Simple Proof of the Hölder and the Minkowski Inequality*, The American Mathematical Monthly **102** (3), (1995), 256-259.
[43] J. Musielak, Orlicz Spaces and Modular spaces, Lecture Notes in Math. **1034**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
[44] D. Nualart, *Fractional Brownian Motion: Stochastic Calculus and Applications*, Proc. Int. Congress Math., Madrid, Spain, (EMS) Vol.3-74 (2006) 1541-1562.
[45] M. M. Rao, Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1991).
[46] G. Stoica, *On some stochastic-type operators*, Analele Universităii Bucuresti, Matematică **39**, (1990), 58–62.
[47] G. Stoica, *Vector valued quasi-martingales*, Stud. Cerc. Mat. **42**, (1990) 73–79.
[48] G. Stoica, *The structure of stochastic processes in normed vector lattices*, Stud. Cerc. Mat. **46**, (1994), 477–486.
[49] J. Vardy, B.A. Watson, *On the decompositions of T-quasi-martingales on Riesz spaces*, Positivity **18** (3), (2014), 425–437.
[50] G. Vinti, L. Zampogni, *Approximation by means of nonlinear Kantorovich sampling type operators in Orlicz spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **161** 2 (2009), 511–528.
[51] G. Vinti, L. Zampogni, *A Unifying Approach to Convergence of Linear Sampling Type Operators in Orlicz Spaces*, Adv. Diff. Equations **16** (2011), 573–600.

[52] J. D. M. Wright, *The measure extension problem for vector lattices*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **21** (4) (1971), 65–85.
[53] A. C. Zaanen, Riesz Spaces, II, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam (1983).

* Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
1, Via Vanvitelli
06123-I Perugia
(Italy)
E-mail address: antonio.boccuto@unipg.it

* Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
1, Via Vanvitelli
06123-I Perugia
(Italy)
E-mail address: domenico.candeloro@unipg.it

* Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
1, Via Vanvitelli
06123-I Perugia
(Italy)
E-mail address: anna.sambucini@unipg.it